

IFW

UNIDED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov NOV 1-4 2006 APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/978,164 10/17/2001 01179 3945 Ronald Schoop 7590 11/06/2006 **EXAMINER** DENNISON, SCHEINER, SCHULTZ & WAKEMAN TINKLER, MURIEL S Suite 612 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1745 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, VA 22202 3691 DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/978,164	SCHOOP, RONALD
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Muriel Tinkler	3691
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
Period for Reply	VIC OFT TO EVRIPE 2 MONTH	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 (<u>October 2006</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		,
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	to alastian requirement	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is of	e Action or form PTO-152.
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the t	Examiner. Note the attached Office	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		_
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		tion No.
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	nts nave been received in Applica	red in this National Stage
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure		in the Hallena. Clay
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		red.
GOO THE ATTRIBUTE ASTRIBUTE OF THE TOTAL T	•	
a 1		
Attachment(s)	<u></u>	. 60
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/3/2002. 	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

This application has been reviewed. The original claims 1-9 are pending. Rejections are as stated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Regarding claims 1, 2, 4, and 7, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Art Unit: 3691

35 USC 101 requires that in order to be patentable the invention must be a "new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof" (emphasis added).

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because; the claimed invention is directed to a non-statutory subject matter. Specifically the claimed invention as a whole does not accomplish a practical application. That is, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." See State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. Accordingly, a complete disclosure should contain some indication of the practical application for the claimed invention. The mere fact that the claim performs calculating a user fee does not satisfy the requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101. The claim may be interpreted in an alternative as involving no more than a manipulation of an abstract idea and therefore is non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. § 101. The claimed invention as a whole must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible" result to have a practical application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 3-5, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Matsumoto et al. (US 6,230,971 B1):

Art Unit: 3691

Claim 1 states, "the automation device is equipped with a module for registering the operating time and/or the operations performed by the automation device in that the module is coupled to an evaluation unit for calculating a user fee accrued by the user for use of the automation device." Matsumoto states in claim 3, "A key control apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising, a time measuring unit which measures a time" and an, "electronic money processor to calculate a charge in accordance with the measured time."

Claim 3 states, "the evaluating unit is integrated with the module into a component, in that the component is equipped with a memory unit used to store an amount of operating credit and/or a reading unit for a payment card, and in that the amount of operating credit is automatically deducted in correspondence with the amount of operating time and/or the operations performed by the automation device."

Matsumoto states in claim 9, "an IC card reader/writer which reads and writes the information of the IC card; an electronic money processor which processes the electronic money of the information stored in the IC card."

Claim 4 states, "the evaluation unit is equipped with a calculating unit such as a calculation table, in which the operating times and/or operations are assigned corresponding debit amounts." Matsumoto states in paragraph 49 of the detailed description, "a first charge calculating unit 38 calculates the amount of money to be charged for the use of the IC-card-operated electronic locker system."

Claim 5 states, "a safety unit, which halts operation of the automation device as soon as the stored credit has been used up." Matsumoto states in claim 17, "a

Art Unit: 3691

transaction is suspended at a time when a monetary deficiency is indicated by the electronic money information of the inserted IC card."

Claim 6 states, "an operating credit can be loaded into the memory unit that is integrated in the component, online through the data transmission medium." Matsumoto states in paragraph 10 under Summary of the Invention, "A corresponding amount-of-money information is then sent to the centralized management unit such as a management center via a transmission line such as a telephone circuit".

Claim 8 states, "the operating time and/or the operations performed by the automation device are registered and evaluated for calculation of a user fee, accrued by the user for use of the automation device." Matsumoto states in claim 16, "A key control apparatus according to claim 15, further comprising, a time measuring unit which measures a time after locking the key by said key controller, terminates the time measurement when coinciding the identification numbers, and causes said electronic money processor to calculate a charge in accordance with the measured time."

Claim 9 states, "the registered service data are transmitted via a data transmission medium to a central point, or are evaluated on-site in the automation device, wherein the operating time and/or the operations performed are used to calculate user fees, preferably using a calculation table, wherein a predetermined amount of credit, stored in the automation device or in the central point, or loaded using a payment card, is debited in keeping with the operating time and/or operations performed by the automation device (12, 14)." Matsumoto states in claim 15, "an identification number storing unit which stores an identification number of the

Art Unit: 3691

information stored in the IC card...said electronic money processor subtracts the electronic money information of the IC card."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto in view of Gutman et al. (US 5,221,838 A). Matsumoto discloses, "the automation device is equipped with a module for registering the operating time and/or the operations performed by the automation device in that the module is coupled to an evaluation unit for calculating a user fee accrued by the user for use of the automation device", as shown in paragraph 5 above. Matsumoto does not disclose, "the data transmission medium is designed as a telecommunications network such as the Internet or Intranet, or as a wireless telecommunications connection such as a radio pathway." Gutman teaches in claim 3, "means for wireless transmitting a message including financial information relating to the balance for initiating the financial transaction with the financial institution." Therefore, it would be obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Matsumoto as taught by Gutman to include in Matsumoto a wireless network to lower installation costs of the device at the

Art Unit: 3691

merchant location and/or to allow the device to be installed in a greater variety of merchant locations.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Muriel Tinkler whose telephone number is (571)272-7976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM until 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571)272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Sheet 1 of 1APPLICATION NO. ATTY DOCKET NO. Form PTO-1449 01179 09/978,164 THE AN APPLICATION APPLICANT Ronald SCHOOP IAN 0 3 Tuse Several sheets if necessary) GROUP FILING DATE October 17, 2001 2184 U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS TRADE! FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE SUB CLASS EXAMINER INITIAL CLASS DATE DOCUMENT NUMBER echnology Center 2100 FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS TRANSLATION SUB CLASS NO COUNTRY CLASS DATE DOCUMENT NUMBER X 974 18/3/99 **GERMANY** 9 0 DE X **GERMANY** 9 9 2 460 13/4/00 1 OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.) DATE CONSIDERED EXAMINER EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with M.P.E.P. 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 09/978,164 Examiner Muriel Tinkler Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination SCHOOP, RONALD Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-5,221,838 A	06-1993	Gutman et al.	235/379
*	В	US-5,258,906 A	11-1993	Kroll et al.	705/2
*	C	US-6,078,902 A	06-2000	Schenkler, Leon	705/35
*		US-6,131,810 A	10-2000	Weiss et al.	235/379
*	E	US-6,192,349 B1	02-2001	Husemann et al.	705/65
*	F	US-6,230,971 B1	05-2001	Matsumoto et al.	235/380
*	G	US-6,289,324 B1	09-2001	Kawan, Joseph C.	705/41
*	Н	US-6,321,213 B1	11-2001	Ito et al.	705/39
*	H	US-6,684,197 B1	01-2004	Kolls, H. Brock	705/41
*	J	US-6,917,853 B2	07-2005	Chirnomas, Munroe	700/244
*	К	US-7,104,443 B1	09-2006	Paul et al.	235/380
^*	L	US-7,103,583 B1	09-2006	Baum et al.	705/409
	м	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	u	
	v	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.